AMENDMENT TO THE CLAIMS

Please amend the claims to be as follows:

Claim 1 (currently amended): A method of inspecting and/or characterizing a substrate, comprising:

impinging an incident beam of electrons onto a first region of said substrate;

obtaining a first dataset, wherein said first dataset includes data derived from an image collected by a first <u>portion of a segmented electron</u> detector of [[a]] <u>said</u> first region of said substrate;

obtaining a second dataset, wherein said second dataset includes data derived from an image collected by a second <u>portion of said segmented electron</u> detector of at least a portion of said first region of said substrate;

impinging the incident beam of electrons onto a second region of said substrate;

obtaining a third dataset, wherein said third dataset includes data derived from an image collected by said first <u>portion of said segmented electron</u> detector from [[a]] <u>said</u> second region of said substrate, wherein said second region of said substrate is expected to be substantially identical to said first region;

obtaining a fourth dataset, wherein said fourth dataset includes data derived from an image collected by said second <u>portion of said segmented electron</u> detector of at least a portion of said second region of said substrate; and

processing information derived from said first, second, third and fourth datasets to detect a defect in at least one of said first or second regions, wherein said information processing includes calculating a first function representing comparison between said first and third datasets and calculating a second function representing comparison between said second and fourth data sets, and

Docket No. 10011.000800 (P989)

Amendment and Response to Office Action

May 26, 2006

classifying the detected defect using output values of the first and second

functions.

Claim 2 (currently amended): The method of Claim 1 wherein the step of processing

information comprises:

calculating the difference signal for each pixel with said first detector portion,

calculating the difference signal for each pixel with said second detector portion,

performing a mathematical operation on the two difference signals, and comparing the

result of said operation with a threshold.

Claims 3-17 (canceled)

Claim 18 (previously presented): The method of Claim 1, wherein said comparison is an

image substraction.

Claim 19 (canceled)

Claim 20 (previously presented): The method of Claim 1, wherein defects whose output

values of the first and second functions cluster together are classified as a same defect

type.

Claims 21-48 (canceled)

3